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Abstract

This qualitative-descriptive study aimed to analyze the relationship among risk reduction, natural resource management and poverty as part of Sustainability Development Goals (SDGs) indicators. This research is part of a mandate research from government (Penelitian Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi) which raised the case of illegal gold mining (PETI: Penambangan Emas Tanpa Izin) in Merangin Regency. Primary and secondary data were being analyzed using content analysis and DPSIR. Results showed that illegal mining along the river moves from upstream to downstream and cause an increase in catastrophic events. Absence of law enforcement and disaster risk reduction contributed to environmental damage and increasing intensity of floods and flash floods. Disaster managers have not even performed disaster risk analysis, therefore it is still far to get to its integration with the achievement of SDGs.
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Introduction

Data of disasters in Indonesia showed that more than a thousand disasters occurred in the first half of year 2015. As of January till June 2015, recapitulation data from Indonesian National Disaster Management Authority (BNPB) showed that there were 1,082 of disasters, 141 people died and disappeared, 617,145 people lives and displaced suffer, and 9,556 units of houses damaged (BNPB, 2015). The disasters are not only caused by nature, but also because of the human factors. Even the largest percentage (70-80%) catastrophic events related to hydro-meteorological disasters (landslides, floods, erosion, drought) in which human factors had big shares. Disaster improves the vulnerability of families and communities because of the loss of family members, possessions, and led to potential crises related to uncertainty caused by the disaster. In addition, there is also an environmental damage caused by the disaster. A few example of disasters caused by human behavior are environmental degradation, floods, landslides, triggered as consequences of activities of illegal gold mining (PETI) in Merangin District, Jambi Province.

In Merangin District, illegal mining has been started since 2011 which is in Sub-District Pangkalan Jambu and then spread over several other districts, such as the Sub-District of Tabir Barat and Muara Siau. The economic condition of people enganged in PETI is increased, but on the other hand people are also beginning to feel the effects of environmental damage, such as river floods, floods, and landslides. The impact of disasters caused by PETI is felt by the communities, while illegal mining area continues to expand. Based on that, it is important to do studies in order to elaborate illegal mining management in relation with an increased risk in Merangin District.

Data and Methods

This research is part of a mandate research from government in 2016 entitled "Integration of Disaster Risk Reduction with The Achievement of Sustainable Development Goals". This qualitative-descriptive research was done in Merangin District, Jambi Province, which was selected intentionally (purposive). Primary and secondary data were being used. The primary
data consists of perception and readiness of disaster management stakeholders on the importance of integrating Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) with poverty reduction and land management gained from in-depth interviews and Focus Group Discussion (FGD). Secondary data consists of data related to natural disasters, environment, poverty, and efforts to overcome the risks of disasters which obtained from the concerned authority. Linkage of the various factors of the illegal gold mining and occurring disasters were analyzed using a DPSIR scheme (Driving force, Pressure, State, Impact, Response), which was first developed by the OECD (1994) and subsequently used by the United Nations (UNEP 1994; UNEP 2007) and the European Environmental Agency (Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment 1995; Pierce 1998; EEA 1999).

Results and Discussions

Merangin District’s General Overview. Merangin District is the largest district in Jambi Province with an area of 7,679 km. This district consists of 24 sub-districts, 205 villages and 10 urban villages. In 2014, the district consist of 360,187 people with a density of 47 people per km. The district was drained by several rivers, including the Tabir River, Batang Merangin, Batang Mesumai, and Batang Telentam. Meanwhile, the community’s economy relies on agriculture, forestry, hunting, and fishing (as much as 60 percent of people working in those sector). From the approximately 600,000 ha of agricultural land, approximately 49.8% is a plantation. The largest community-based plantation’s commodities in 2014 are palm oil (211,315 tonnes), rubber (61,360 tonnes), and coffee (6,577 tons). In terms of consumption, as much as 34.69 percent of Merangin population have an average expenditure per capita per month between Rp 300,000 and Rp 499,999 (Merangin in Figures, 2015). Fluctuations in the price of commodities since 2012 have an impact on instability and even declining people’s income in Merangin, so people tempted to do illegal mining (PETI) when a lot of investors coming from outside the district.

Development of Illegal Gold Mining (PETI) Area in Merangin District. In general, almost every sub-district in Merangin have gold potential and has been managed for a long time by the community by using a tool or a simple ways of mining. PETI activities which used the heavy construction equipment (excavator) were estimated started since 2011 in Sub-District Pangkalan Jambu. In that sub-district, from the total agricultural land area of 1200 ha, 630 ha have been damaged by PETI activities. In the beginning, at this location there are up to about 300 excavators, but as almost endless land for mined, now (as per August 2016) only 10 excavators remains. The excavators used then move to other districts. In 2013, PETI activities began to spread to the entire villages (14 villages) in Sub-District Tabir Barat. In total, there are about 150 excavators used and still running until the year 2016. Several other sub-districts also become the PETI location including Sub-District Tabir Timur (PETI activities is carried along the river from the Sub-District Tabir Ilir to Muara Tabir which is about 125 ha watershed), Muara Siau (activities of illegal miners in the area is relatively new, this time there are around 1-2 ha of PETI activities), Sub-District Tabir Ilir (a few amount of simple way of mining – dompleng). The movement of PETI location lasted from upstream toward downstream, as presented in Figure 1.
PETI and Floods. Currently, people are being aware of the disaster caused by the activities of illegal miners. The community had complained about the overflowing river water to flooding, especially during the rainy season. From the upstream areas in the district, the streets which levels are higher than the river can be submerged up to more than a meter during the rainy season. Flooding, overflowing rivers, and erosion in the downstream region are taking place since PETI activities started in the upstream area. People in downstream area also complained about overflow of the water from the river due to a lot of PETI activities in the upstream area. The incidence of flooding in the downstream area increases along with the increased damaged area caused by PETI activities in the upstream area.

Since the PETI activities have existed, areas far from the banks of rivers which previously never have floods are now easily run into puddles around 20 to 30 cm just because of the drizzling rain. Recently, floods, flash floods, and landslides, occurred in several districts with PETI activities or affected area such as in the Sub-District Tabir Barat, Lembah Masumai, Tabir Timur, Tabir Ilir, Margo Tabir, and Nalo Tantan (Figure 1). For example, in the Sub-District Margo Tabir, in year 2016 there have been 17 times of flooding. Height of the flood in one of the villages hit as high as an adults neck, and in certain areas were reach up to 3 meters. Here are the details of flash flood disasters which occurred in Merangin District in 2012-2016.

Table 1. History of Flash Flood Disasters in Merangin District between 2012-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 2, 2012</td>
<td>Lebak Bumbun Village, Sub-District Margo Tabir Tanjung Ilir Village, Sub-District Tabir</td>
<td>466 houses were inundated as high as 1-1.5 meters, 1 SD submerged, two madrasah submerged, 2 pustu submerged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early December, 2012</td>
<td>Lubuk Bumbun Village, Sub-District Margo Tabir Limau Manis Village, Sub-District Tabir Ilir</td>
<td>Lubuk Bumbun Village: in addition to the affected villages, there are 46 houses, 1 SD, 2 Madrasah and 1 pustu flooded. Tanjung Ilir Village, footpaths and 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Map of PETI Area Development in Merangin District
Source: Sunarti, et al. (2016)
February 5, 2013

- 5 village in Sub-District Nalo Tanjung Ilir Village, Sub-District Tabir
- 3 village in Sub-District Batang Masunai
- 2 village in Sub-District Tabir Ilir
- 9 village in Sub-District Pamunang
- 1 village in Sub-District Tabir Timur
- 5 village in Sub-District Tabir Lintas

- Dusun Mudp: 1 house heavily damaged
- Muara Delang 4 houses were flooded
- Ulak Makam 41 houses were flooded
- Rt Limau Manis 165 houses were flooded
- Ds. Rantau Tenang 60 houses were flooded
- Dsn. Kembang Bungo 158 houses were flooded
- Lebak Bento 30 houses were flooded
- Padang Kelap 70 houses were flooded
- Sei Limau 318 houses, 4 mosque, 3 Puskesmas, 3 elementary school, 2 Madrasah were flooded

December 7, 2013

- Tanjung Ilir Village, Sub-District Tabir: 11 houses were flooded
- Limau Manis Village, Sub-District Tabir Ilir: 129 houses were flooded
- Sai Limau Village, Sub-District Tabir: 56 houses, 1 elementary school, 1 Madrasah, 1 Puskesdes were flooded

January 12, 2014

- Sub-District Tabir Ilir, Tabir Selatan dan Tabir Timur: The water level reached two meters.

November 27, 2014

- Kabu Village and Pematang Pau Village, Sub-District Sungai Tenang: Ds. Pematang Pau: 80 ha of rice fields damaged, Ds. Kabu: 20 hectares of rice fields damaged, 3 units of semi-permanent bridges washed away, one unit of a permanent bridge washed away, 6 units laluran secondary irrigation for MHP heavily damaged, 5 units mosque drift, and 1 buffalo drift

Mei 9, 2015

- Sub-District Pangkalan Jambu: 200 houses were flooded

June 12, 2016

- 7 Village in Sub-District Pangkalan Jambu: Around 414 houses and some general facility were flooded
- 1 Village in Sub-District Sungai Manau: Three swept suspension bridge broke and damaged a primary school building unit as well as the destruction of agricultural land

February 6, 2016

- Sub-District Bungo: 6 districts, 23 Village, Fatalities: 1 dead (drifting), 1 minor injuries (peck snakes), 9 houses were swept away, 32 were severely damaged, 7 houses were damaged, 2,820 houses were inundated, 23 schools, 23 religious facilities, 10 health facilities, 10 public facilities, 2 bridges, 3,168 meters of road, two box culvert, 146 m gabion, 1 MHP, 238 hectares of rice fields, 24.5 ha fields, 8 vehicles, 137 head of cattle, 11 ponds and 2,000 m of water channels

April 26, 2016

- Sub-District Tabir Barat, Sub-District Sungai Manau, Sub-District Tabir Ulu, Sub-District Tabir, Sub-District Tabir Ilir dan Sub-District Tabir Timur: 6 districts, 23 Village,

In addition to severe environmental damage, another impact of PETI activities is the disruption of community living patterns. This was caused by the loss of the main source of livelihood as farmers, gardeners, and so on. Agricultural land which previously used as a source of primary commodities has been damaged by PETI activities and could not be reused. Meanwhile, the outcome from PETI activities are no longer promises as used to be in early time. Therefore, people economic needs are increasingly urgent while the livelihood options
are increasingly limited, then people move to utilize the land in the mountainous region both for farming and doing PETI activities. Nowadays, PETI activities has caused a catastrophic flash floods and landslides, even in certain areas drizzle raining alone is causing puddles which can reach up to 30 cm. Moreover, it caused the pollution of water. Although most people currently have regretted, but there are still groups of people who are still helping the sustainability of PETI activities.

In accordance to previous studies (Sunarti, et al., 2015), the analysis showed the linkage between patterns of living in the context of welfare and poverty prevention with the management of natural resources such as PETI by the community in the absence of law enforcement and disaster risk reduction. PETI activities are moving from the upstream area toward the downstream area in Merangin District. Meanwhile, when PETI activities are still located in the upstream area, downstream communities are already affected. If there was no effort of mining arrangements (groove, permit, recovery, and retribution for recovery) as well as post-mining recovery effort, we could predict an increase of the occurrence and intensity of catastrophic disasters both in the upstream and downstream areas. Therefore, it is important to optimize the use of risk analysis and integrating it in the general development, in this case including economic development, livelihood, and the environment. However, disaster managers in Merangin District still not knowing the importance of disaster risk analysis, they neither conducted an analysis of disaster risks nor implemented the analysis in regular development in order to achieve sustainable development goals.

DPSIR analysis (Figure 2) shows the interaction between humans and the environment in mining-related disasters in Merangin District, Jambi Province. The driving force of increasing
PETI activities is the lack of law, felling price of rubber commodity, economy needs, potential of gold, as well as presence of investors both from inside and outside Merangin District. These cause the conversion of existing wetland into gold mining area. All of which have an impact on people's lives from the aspect of social, economic, and cultural. For example, interference in the patterns of living, health, welfare, poverty, changing patterns of community interaction, financial loss, and damage to local wisdom, and trigger a conflict. Therefore, the required response is by perform the disaster risk assessments then integrated in natural resource management, especially management of artisanal mining. The other thing is the rule of law and economic development of the region which provides a selection pattern of living for the people.

Conclusion
Study of linkage between disaster risk reduction of mining resource management and economic gains for welfare motives shows that there has been apparent linkage between efforts to obtain well-being through a pattern of living in order to avoid poverty with increased exploitation of natural resources which also increase the risk of disaster. Community exploited natural resources without considering the aspects of sustainability, safety, and sustainability. In Merangin District case, reflecting the movement of illegal mining activities from upstream toward downstream area, which affected all the communities. Therefore, substantial efforts on mining arrangements (groove, permit, recovery, and retribution for recovery) as well as post-mining recovery effort are needed. Furthermore, disaster risk analysis should play a role in preventing or reducing the risk of disasters which caused economic problems of society and the degradation of natural resources, but in fact that disaster management authority have not done the analysis of disaster risk due to not understand yet the purpose and its strategic position. This indicates an omission, especially if it is now they have been expected to integrate the disaster risk reduction with SDGs achievement.
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