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Abstract (Indonesian)
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Abstract (English)
An abstract is a brief, comprehensive summary of the contents of the article, it allows readers to survey the contents of an article quickly. A well-prepared abstract can be the most important single paragraph in an article. The abstract needs to be dense with information, therefore, it should provide a summary of articles covering the objectives, research methods, and research results. The abstract should only be typed in a single paragraph and single-column format, do not exceed the abstract word limit which is range from 150 to 200 words. If the manuscript is written in Indonesian, it is needed to provide a second abstract in English as translation. (11pt, single line spacing, 1 paragraph)
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INTRODUCTION
The introduction should contain explanation the general background of relevant and/or recent research related to the topic to provide context and clearly present research question or hypothesis. Good introduction able to present empirical and theoretical gaps in current research and gives a clear idea why the research was carried out accompanied by research question. Most importantly, the writers should provide the state of the art as the basis for the scientific novelty statement of the article.

METHODS
The Method section describes in detail how the study was conducted, including conceptual and operational definitions of the variables used in the study. Different types of studies will rely on different methodologies; however, a complete description of the methods used enables the reader to evaluate the appropriateness of methods as well as the reliability and validity of findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Subtitles
In this section, the author should be able to resolve or provide explanations to the question stated in the introduction. Summarize the collected data and the analysis performed on those data relevant to the discourse that is to follow. Report the data in sufficient detail to justify your conclusions.

CONCLUSION
The concluding statement should contain summary and suggestion. The summary should exemplify the answers provided to the hypothesis and/or research objectives or acquired findings. Conclusion is not the repetition of results and discussion, but it is the summary of findings as expected in the objective or hypothesis. If necessary, conclusion can also be ended with the next idea to be implemented to the study.
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